

| | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/623,695 | Applicant(s) BENCO ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Huy Q. Phan | Art Unit 2617 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Huy Q. Phan.

(3) _____.

 (2) The applicant's representative Joseph D. Dreher.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 November 2006
Time: 3:00 PM
Type of Interview:


- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
None
Claims discussed:
Claim 20
Prior art documents discussed:
None
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The amended claim 20 has been placed in independent form but not including the intervening claim 19.
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)